OMR	Control	#	2060.	0482
	COLLIG	π	2000	70702

For EPA Use Only ID # _	
SECTOR	



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

2003 Application for Critical Use Exemption of Methyl Bromide for Pre Plant Use in 2005 and beyond in the United States

WHY IS THIS INFORMATION NEEDED?

Under the Clean Air Act and the international treaty to protect the ozone layer (the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer), the production and import of methyl bromide will be phased out in the United States on January 1, 2005. This application seeks information to support a U.S. request to produce and import methyl bromide for certain critical uses and circumstances beyond this 2005 phaseout date.

The information in this application will be used to review whether your use of methyl bromide is "critical" because no technically and economically feasible alternatives are available. In order to estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available, EPA needs to compare data (yields, crops/crop groupings, prices, revenues and costs) for your use of methyl bromide with uses of alternative pest control regimens.

If you submit a well documented application with sound reasons why alternatives are not technically and economically feasible, the U.S. government can be a better advocate for your exemption request internationally.

Click on the Instructions tab located at the bottom of the screen for additional information.

The information contained in this application is critical to process and assess the need for methyl bromide. Filling out this application in its entirety will bolster the U.S. government's ability to strengthen the nomination package for the international review boards.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 324 hours per response and assumes a large portion of applications will be submitted by consortia on behalf of many individual users of methyl bromide. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current OMB control number.

INSTRUCTIONS

The information provided by you in this application will be used to evaluate the requested methyl bromide use. The U.S. and other countries that are parties to the Montreal Protocol On Substances That Deplete The Ozone Layer decided that: "a use of methyl bromide should qualify as "critical" only if the nominating Party determines that:

- (i) The specific use is critical because the lack of availability of methyl bromide for that use would result in a significant market disruption; and
- (ii) There are no technically and economically feasible alternatives available to the user that are acceptable from the standpoint of environment and health and are suitable to the crops and circumstances of the nomination ..."

WHO APPLIES?

If you anticipate that you will need methyl bromide in 2005 because you believe there are no technically and economically feasible alternatives, then you should apply for the critical use exemption. This application may be submitted either by a consortium representing multiple users or by individual users. We encourage users with similar circumstances of use to submit a single application (for example, any number of pre plant users with similar soil, pest, and climactic conditions can submit a single application.)

If a consortium is applying for multiple methyl bromide users, the economic data should be for a representative or typical user within the consortium unless otherwise noted. If economic or technical factors (such as size of the farm) affecting the ability of this "representative user" to use alternatives are significantly different than other users in the consortium, more than one application should be submitted to reflect these differences.

Please contact your local, state, regional or national commodity association and/or state representative agency to find out if they plan on submitting an application on behalf of your commodity group.

STATE CONTACTS

States that have agreed to participate in the exemption process are listed on EPA's website at www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr/cuega.html

HOW DO I APPLY?

You may either complete an electronic (Microsoft Excel) or a printed version of the application. Please fill out each form or worksheet in the application as completely as possible. If you are completing the printed version and need extra space you may attach additional sheets as needed. Additional information may be available from your local state department of agriculture or at the sites listed below or by calling 1-800-296-1996.

IS MY **INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL?**

The applicant may assert a business confidentiality claim covering part or all of the information in the application by placing on (or attaching to) the information, at the time it is submitted to EPA, a cover sheet, stamped or typed legend, or other suitable form of notice employing language such as trade secret, proprietary, or company confidential. Allegedly confidential portions of otherwise non-confidential documents should be clearly identified by the applicant, and may be submitted separately to facilitate identification and handling by EPA. If the applicant desires confidential treatment only until a certain date or until the occurrence of a certain event, the notice should so state. Information covered by a claim of confidentiality will be disclosed by EPA only to the extent, and by means of the procedures set forth under 40 CFR Part 2 Subpart B; 41 FR 36902, 43 FR 400000. 50 FR 51661. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the information when it is received by EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further Applicants submitting their application via e-mail assume responsibility for the confidentiality of the electronic message transmission.

WHEN IS THE **INFORMATION** NEEDED?

This application must be postmarked to the EPA address below no later than 120 days after the Notice was published in the Federal Register requesting critical use exemption applications.

WHERE DO I **SUBMIT THE**

Electronic Address for applications:

methyl.bromide@epa.gov

(When submitting an application electronically, you should also print a hard copy, sign it, and submit it by mail)

APPLICATION?

Mailing Address for applications being submitted by mail directly to the EPA:

Address for applications being sent by courier or non-U.S. Postal overnight express delivery to the EPA:

US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Pesticide Programs Mail Code 7503C 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20460

US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Pesticide Programs 911 Bay, BEAD 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone: (703) 308-8200

HOW CAN I RECEIVE ADDITIONAL **INFORMATION?**

If you have general questions about this application call:

Stratospheric Ozone Hotline

1-800-296-1996

INSTRUCTIONS

SECTIONS OF WORKBOOK

Each worksheet number corresponds to the tab number in the electronic version of the application. Instructions specific to each worksheet are provided at the top of each sheet. A header row is included on each worksheet to include an application ID number that EPA will assign.

Instructions

Worksheet 1. Contact and Methyl Bromide Request Information

Worksheet 2. Methyl Bromide

Worksheet 2-A. Methyl Bromide - Pest and Crop Information

Worksheet 2-B. Methyl Bromide - Historical Use for 1997 - 2002

Worksheet 2-C. Methyl Bromide - Crop/Crop Grouping Yield & Gross Revenue for 2000 - 2002

Worksheet 2-D(1&2). Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for 2002 (Annual or Perennial)

Worksheet 3. Alternatives

Worksheet 3-A. Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

Worksheet 3-B(1&2). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs (Annual or Perennial)

Worksheet 4. Future Research Plans

Worksheet 5. Application Summary

Definitions

Climate Zone Map

EXCEL USER TIPS

Inserting a blank worksheet:

- **1.** To add additional blank worksheets in the Excel file, go to the menu line at the top of the worksheet and select "Insert" then "worksheet"
- 2. A tab with the name "Sheet 1" will appear at the bottom of the worksheet and will be highlighted in white. Take the cursor and double click the "new tab"
- **3.** By double clicking in the tab you can now rename the worksheet to the appropriate number letter designation (e.g., 3-A(1), 3-A(1)(a), etc.)
- 4. To move a newly inserted worksheet, simply drag the worksheet with your mouse to the desired location.
- **5.** Once you add a new worksheet, Excel will automatically name each subsequently added worksheet as Sheet 2, Sheet 3, etc... Follow the instructions above to rename the new blank worksheets as appropriate.

Copying and pasting an entire worksheet's contents into a blank worksheet:

- 1. Select the worksheet to be copied by clicking on the worksheet tab at the bottom of the screen. The tab will turn white in color when it has been selected.
- 2. Select the top left corner of the worksheet (this is the space to the left of column A and above row 1. You will know that the entire worksheet has been selected because the row and column marks as well as the worksheet itself will
- 3. Go to the menu line at the top of the worksheet and select "Edit" then "Copy".
- 4. Go to the blank worksheet where you want the copied information to be pasted.
- 5. Again, select the top left corner of the worksheet (left of column A and above row 1) to select the entire worksheet.
- 6. Go to the menu line at the top of the worksheet and select "Edit" then "Paste"
- **7.** Change the title row of the newly pasted worksheet from the old worksheet number to be consistent with the worksheet tab.

Note: This is the only way you can copy a worksheet and not lose portions of the text instructions.

Viewing worksheets

Worksheets are best viewed in "Page Break Preview." To select the view of the worksheet, go to the menu bar and select "View" and then "Page Break Preview." Page break preview shows only the printable area of the worksheet, with the blue lines that surround the screen indicating the edges of each page.

To increase or decrease the size of the page that is viewable on the screen, go to the menu bar and select "View" and then "Zoom".

Navigating between worksheets

The set of four arrows on the bottom left of the screen will help you navigate between worksheets. This is necessary to access the remaining worksheet tabs in the workbook that are not viewable. The two arrows with vertical lines to either the left or right will take you to the first worksheet and to the last worksheet respectively in the workbook. The inner two arrows allow you move the worksheet tabs to the right or to the left incrementally.

The two arrows on the bottom right of the screen allow you to move the worksheet that you are viewing to the right or to the left. This is useful if the viewable area of on the screen is smaller than the entire page that is in the worksheet.

Printing worksheets

If you would like to print all worksheets that are contained in this workbook, go to the menu bar at the top of the screen and select "File" and then "Print." Then in the section of the menu that appears called "Print what," select "Entire Workbook."

Worksheet 1. Contact and Methyl Bromide Request Information

	onfidential Business Information? ssumes responsibility for the secure train	Yes	No X
		isinission of electronic su	omissions.
Applicant Name	Nursery Association		
Primary Contact			
Contact Name	James White	<u>Specialty</u>	(Che <u>ck O</u> ne)
ddress	0000 Nowhere Drive	Agronomic	X
	Anyplace, OZ 11111	Economic	
aytime Phone	666-666-6666	Cell	666-666-6666
-mail Address	james@white.box	Fax	666-666-6666
Iternate Contact			
Contact Name	John Doe	<u>Specialty</u>	
Address	0000 Nowhere Drive	Agronomic	X
	Anyplace, OZ 11111	Economic	
aytime Phone	555-555-5555	Cell	555-555-5555
-mail Address	john@doe.net	Fax	555-555-5555
certify that all informa	ation contained in this document is factu		ledge.
·		al to the best of my know	-
Signat	ure	al to the best of my know	Date
Signat		al to the best of my know	-
Signate Print Nation in this approvernment to justify of an authorized for an arguments in favor of a significant content of the significant content content of the significant content con	ure	tion from other application ge that a particular use of Jse of aggregate data will ow, you agree now to as	Date Title s and used by the United States methyl bromide be considered "critical be crucial to making compelling sert any claim of confidentiality that
Signate Print Nation of the second authorized for an erguments in favor of crould affect the disclossing prints and authorized for an erguments in favor of crould affect the disclossing prints and authorized for an erguments in favor of crould affect the disclossing prints are prints and authorized for an erguments are prints and authorized for an ergument and authorize	ure lication may be aggregated with informatical claims in the national nomination package exemption beyond the 2005 phaseout. It is critical use exemptions. By signing be	al to the best of my knowledge that a particular use of Jse of aggregate data will low, you agree now to as ased in part on information	Date Title s and used by the United States methyl bromide be considered "critical" be crucial to making compelling sert any claim of confidentiality that

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 324 hours per response and assumes a large portion of applications will be submitted by consortia on behalf of many individual users of methyl bromide. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current OMB control number.

Worksheet 1. Contact and Methyl Bromide Request Information

1.	Location	(Enter the state, reg	gion, or county.)			
	California and Utah					
2.	Crop/Crop Grouping Nursery grown conifer	cycle. For a definition	on of fumigation cycle	e see Worksheet e	eation of methyl bromide ntitled "Definitions".)	in a fumigation
2						
Э.	(Insert number or percentage of users in each category)	0 - 25 -	100 acres 5	 _	100 - 200 acres 200 - 400 acres over 400 acres	3
4.	Climate Zone		e zone designation by nline at http://www.usr	-	S. climate zone map loca zone/ushzmap.html.)	ted at the end of
					_ 5a 5b 6a_> 10b 11	(6b_X
5.	Soil Type & Organic Matter	(Indicate the soil type	pe and percent organ	ic matter where me	ethyl bromide would be a	applied.)
	(check all that apply)		Soil Type: Light ic Matter: 0 to 2 %			
6.	Is this applicant elig bromide?	ible for Quarantine	e and Preshipment (QPS) uses of me	thyl Yes P	ounds
7.	Has this applicant promide?	reviously applied fo	or Critical Use Exen	nption of methyl	Yes X	CUE #
_	What is the amount	•			? (Do NOT include QP consortium.	S amounts)
8.	If a consortium is subi	mitting this application				
8.		Total Pounds A	ctive Ingredient (a.i hyl Bromide		Total Area to be Tr	eated
8.	If a consortium is subi	Total Pounds A	ctive Ingredient (a.i hyl Bromide		Total Area to be Tro	eated Acres
8.	Year 2005 2006	Total Pounds A Met 25,000 25,000	ctive Ingredient (a.i hyl Bromide lbs. lbs.		100 100	Acres Acres
9.	Year 2005 2006 2007 Please explain why The industry has repla	Total Pounds A Meti 25,000 25,000 25,000 there may be varial acced methyl bromide of the total industry to transition to other	Ibs. Ibs. Itions in the pounds in all areas that are eacreage. Therefore, r alternatives.	or acres treated	100 100 100	Acres Acres Acres
9. 10.	Year 2005 2006 2007 Please explain why The industry has repla accounts for only 25% by 70% but need time	Total Pounds A Meti 25,000 25,000 25,000 there may be varial aced methyl bromide of the total industry to transition to other methyl bromide is to recycled methyl bromide is	Inctive Ingredient (a.i. hyl Bromide Ibs. Ibs. Ibs. Itions in the pounds in all areas that are experienced acreage. Therefore, relaternatives. It bromide?	or acres treated of the second	100 100 100 I from year to year. itical need for methyl broour methyl bromide comp	Acres Acres Acres

Worksheet 2. Methyl Bromide

methyl bromide	a: To establish a baseline estimate of crop/crop grouping yields, gross revenues, and costs using e.
Instructions spe	cific to each worksheet are located at the top of each sheet.
Worksheet	Title
2-A	Methyl Bromide - Crop & Pest Information
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine pest infestation and crop information where methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.
2-B	Methyl Bromide - Historical Use 1997 - 2002
	If a consortium is submitting this application, all data should reflect the actual data for the consortium. This worksheet provides data in actual usage for 1997-2002.
2-C	Methyl Bromide - Crop/Crop grouping Yield and Gross Revenue for 2000-2002
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	This worksheet provides crop/crop grouping yield and gross revenue for 2000 through 2002.
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine past gross revenues when methyl bromide is used. This form the baseline for evaluating the revenue impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.
2-D(1 & 2)	Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for 2002
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	This data is needed to estimate a baseline for operating costs in order to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide and to provide required information to the international review board.
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when methyl bromide is used. This form the baseline for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable, which will be shown in Worksheet 3-B. Worksheet 2-D(1) is for users with a fumigation cycle or less than 5 years. Worksheet 2-D(2) is for users growing perennial crops following a single fumigation at establishment.
	In collaboration with USDA, we will estimate fixed and overhead costs across crops and regions to ensure consistency within the U.S. nomination.

Worksheet 2-A. Methyl Bromide - Crop & Pest Information

	Crop/Crop Grouping or Consortium	Conifer Transplants											
	Which month does your	fumigat	ion cv	cle sta	rt? (chec	k only c	ne)						
•	Willon Month does you	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
					1 1					X			
•	Fumigation and Crop	typica the fur month one cr	Illy occ migation s to an op may	cur by some cycle. appropulation benefit	igation, shading If the fu riate inter t from on	the app migation val. The methy	oropria n cycle nese tat d bromi	te cells is long bles are de fumi	s. Sho er than for and gation.	w a sec one ye nual cro If app	cond cro ear chain ops but lication	op if pa nge the more th covers	nan
•	Timeline	inform Pleas page.	ation fo e prov i Pleas	or all cro i de adc e begin	roupings ops/crop ditional of the time e vear of	groupin comme line with	gs. Ple nts or n the firs	ease ad descrip st land	just tim otion b prepara	neline a elow c ation. F	s neces or on a or per	ssary. separa ennial	ate s,
	Beginning Fumigation Cycle				Time Inte	erval (e.	.g. MOI	NTH/YE	AR/SE	ASON)			
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4								
	Land Preparation												
	Fumigation												
	Planting												
	Harvest												
	Cover Crop												
	Irrigation												
	Fertilizer												
	Pesticides												
	Labor												
	O and a set a set	1											
	Continuation of				Time Inte	erval (e.	g. MOI	NTH/YE	AR/SE	ASON)			
	Fumigation Cycle (if	NA tl-	I N 4 = 41=	NA 41-		•	-					I N 4 = 41=	N A 41
		Month			Month							Month	
	Land Preparation	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	Fumigation												
			ļ										
	Planting												
	Planting Harvest												

Worksheet 2-A. Methyl Bromide - Crop & Pest Information

Pest 1 Needle dieback Pythium spp Pest 2 Phomopsis canker and foliage blight Phoma Sight Pest 3 Phoma Blight Phoma Spp. Pest 4 Post-emergence damping-off Fusarium Spp. Pest 5 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest 6 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest 7 Phytophthora spp. Pest 8 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest 9 Phytophthora spp. Pest 1 Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 4 Pest 5 Pest 4 Pest 5 Pest 5 Pest 6 Pest 8 Pest 9 Pe		Comm	on Nan	ne		Gei	nus	
Pest 2 Phomopsis canker and foliage blight Phoma spp. Pest 3 Phoma Blight Phoma spp. Pest 4 Post-emergence damping-off Fusarium Spp. Pest 5 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest Economic Threshold (Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year source of information such as survey or expert estimate.) Threshold Units (e.g. pests/sq ft) Year Source Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a su or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 Merces Percentage Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres 100	Pest 1							
Pest 3 Phoma Blight Phoma spp. Pest 4 Post-emergence damping-off Fusarium Spp. Pest 5 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest Economic Threshold Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year source of information such as survey or expert estimate.) Threshold Units (e.g., pests/sq ft) Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Pest 4 Pest 5 Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 Cite	Pest 2	-						
Pest 4 Post-emergence damping-off Pusarium Spp. Pest 5 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. Pest Economic Threshold (Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year source of information such as survey or expert estimate.) Threshold Units (e.g., pests/sq ft) Year Source Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a survey or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pe						•		
Pest 5 Phytophthora root rot Phytophthora spp. (Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year source of information such as survey or expert estimate.) Threshold Units (e.g., pests/sq ft) Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a survey or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source	Pest 3	Phom	na Bligh	nt		Phoma	a spp.	
Pest Economic Threshold (Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year source of information such as survey or expert estimate.) Threshold Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a survey or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 Pest 7 Pest 8 Pest 9 Cite source information Acres Average Farm Size Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 4	Post-emerger	nce dan	mping-off		Fusariu	m Spp.	
Threshold Units (e.g. pests/sq ft) Year Source Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a surrey or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information Pest 100 Acres Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 5	Phytophtl	hora roc	ot rot	P	hytophti	hora spp.	
Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a such or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 Cite source information Pest 7 Cite source information Pest 8 Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Cite source information Acres Average Farm Size Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest Economic Threshold	source of info	rmation	such as	survey			
Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a sure or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source		Thresho	old		_	Year		Source
Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a surrespond or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 Cite source information Pest 7 Cite source information Pest 8 Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Pest 9 Cite source information Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1			, 23.3, 0	1/			
Pest 4 Pest 5 (Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a such asuch as a such a	Pest 2							
Pest 5	Pest 3							
(Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a mode to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a such or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source Pest 1 20 % Cite source information Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Pest 6 20 % Cite source information Pest 7 20 % Cite source information Pest 8 20 % Cite source information Pest 9 20 % Cite source information	Pest 4							
to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a such or expert estimate.) Percentage of Total Growing Source	Pest 5							
Pest 2 20 % Cite source information Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average acres in this crop 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	「arget Pest Infestation	to severe prol	blem wi				_	_
Pest 3 20 % Cite source information Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Cite source information Cite source information Cite source information Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size Average acres in this crop Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Γarget Pest Infestation	to severe prolor expert estimates or expert estimates and the content of the cont	blem wi mate.) je of				e source of ir	nformation such as a surv
Pest 4 20 % Cite source information Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average acres in this crop 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop		to severe prolor expert estil Percentag Total Grov	blem wi mate.) je of wing			Describ	e source of in	nformation such as a surv
Pest 5 20 % Cite source information Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average acres in this crop 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1 Pest 2	to severe prolor expert estimates and the severe prolocolor expert estimates and the severe expert e	blem wi mate.) je of wing %			Describ	Source	nformation such as a surv
Representative User: (Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.) Average Farm Size 100 Acres Average acres in this crop 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3	to severe prolor expert estimates and the severe prolocy or expert estimates and the severe prolocy and the severe	blem wi mate.) ge of wing % %			Ci Ci	Source ite source info ite source info ite source info	ormation such as a surverse as
Average Farm Size Average acres in this crop Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: Describe a few crops that could follow this crop Acres Acres Acres	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4	to severe prolor expert estimates and content of the severe prolor or expert estimates and content of the severe prolong to severe prolong	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % %			Ci Ci Ci	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a surverse or such as a sur
Average Farm Size Average acres in this crop Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: Describe a few crops that could follow this crop Acres Acres Acres	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4	to severe prolor expert estimates and content of the severe prolor or expert estimates and content of the severe prolong to severe prolong	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % %			Ci Ci Ci	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a surverse or such as a sur
Average acres in this crop 100 Acres Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5	to severe prolor expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 20	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % %	th these p	ests.	Ci Ci Ci	Source ite source infoite	ormation such as a surversion such as a surversion ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
Average Area Treated with Methyl Bromide: 100 Acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User :	to severe prolor expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 20	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % %	iptive facto	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survention such as a survention ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
Describe a few crops that could follow this crop	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size	to severe prolor expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide pr	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % %	iptive facto	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survention such as a survention ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro	to severe prolor or expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide p	blem wi mate.) Je of wing % % % % %	iptive facto	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survention such as a survention ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
Other descriptive factors regarding representative user:	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated with	to severe prolor expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide pth Methyl Bromite Percentage Provided Proceedings of the Percentage Provided Provided Proceedings of the Percentage Provided	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survention such as a survention ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
Other descriptive factors regarding representative user:	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated with	to severe prolor expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide pth Methyl Bromite Percentage Provided Proceedings of the Percentage Provided Provided Proceedings of the Percentage Provided	blem wi mate.) je of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survey of the survey of
	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated with Describe a few crops that	to severe prolor or expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide point Methyl Bromet could follow the severe provide point could follow the severe provide point of the severe provide p	blem wi mate.) pe of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a surversion such as a surversion ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated with Describe a few crops that	to severe prolor or expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide point Methyl Bromet could follow the severe provide point could follow the severe provide point of the severe provide p	blem wi mate.) pe of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a surversion such as a surversion ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User: Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated with Describe a few crops that	to severe prolor or expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide point Methyl Bromet could follow the severe provide point could follow the severe provide point of the severe provide p	blem wi mate.) pe of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survention such as a survention ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation ormation
	Pest 1 Pest 2 Pest 3 Pest 4 Pest 5 Representative User : Average Farm Size Average acres in this cro Average Area Treated wit Describe a few crops that	to severe prolor or expert estimate Percentage Total Grove 20 20 20 20 (Please provide point Methyl Bromet could follow the severe provide point could follow the severe provide point of the severe provide p	blem wi mate.) pe of wing % % % % le descri	iptive facto 100 100	ests.	Ci Ci Ci arding yo Acres Acres	Source ite source infoite source inf	ormation such as a survey of the survey of

Worksheet 2-B. Methyl Bromide - Historical Use for 1997-2002

Column A:	Total Actual Pounds a.i. of Methyl Bromide Applied per Year								
	Enter the total actual pounds active ingredient (a.i.) of methyl bromide applied. Note: This number								
	should be the total pounds a.i. applied by the individual user or the entire consortium, for the year								
	indicated. Include only the pounds active ingredient of methyl bromide. Do not include the pounds								
	of chloropicrin that may be part of the same product.								
Column B:	Total Actual Acres Treated per Year								
		ed. Note: This number should be							
		acres treated for the entire consor	•						
	furrow treatment the acres should include the area between the rows as well as the area of the rows. i.e. acres treated is the area of the cultivated fields being treated including the area between								
	rows even if they are not treated.	ea of the cultivated fields being the	ated including the area between						
Column C:	· ·	oor Aroa por Voar							
Column C.		·	area many ha calavilated by						
	dividing Column A by Column B.	pounds a.i. of methyl bromide per	area may be calculated by						
		D	0						
	A Total Actual Pounds a.i. of	В	С						
Year		Total Actual Acres Treated	Average Pounds a.i.						
	Methyl Bromide Applied	per Year	Applied per Acre per Year						
1997	per Year 38500	110	350						
1998	41600	130	320						
1999	41600	130	320						
2000	43000	140	350						
2001	45000	150	300						
2002	45000	150	300						
2002	43000	150	300						
What is the		applied per area? (1x / year, 2x / 4 years	year, 1x / 3 years, etc.)						
If there is a	variation (greater than 10%) in	the quantity a.i., the acres trea	ated or average application						
	ear to year, please explain the		5						
		over the years because of inc	rease in acres treated and						
increased p	roduction.								
C = == == = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =									
Comments	:								

Worksheet 2-C. Methyl Bromide - Crop/Species Yield & Gross Revenue for 2000-2002

Column	Year								
A:	-	Use as many rows as neede If a fumigation cycle overlap as applied.	•			-			
Column	Crops/Crop Grouping	gs							
B:	Enter all crops/crop groupings that benefit from methyl bromide in the fumigation cycle. If multiple crops/crop groupings are grown during the interval between fumigations (e.g. tomatoes followed by peppers in a single growing season, or strawberries followed by lettuce over 2 or 3 years) include all of the crops/crop groupings during the entire interval.								
		applicant benefits from the ap e crops/crop groupings grow							
Column	Market Categories								
C:	Enter marketing categories	s that determine prices receiv	ed, for example,	grade (size, color), t	meliness (early	season, late			
	season), or end use (fresh would effect the yields in e	, processing). Itemize or aggeach category.	gregate these fact	tors to the extent ap	propriate if lack	of methyl bromide			
Column	Yield								
D:		the proportion of total yields indicate yields at other stage		• • •	nnial crops, plea	ase enter yields at			
Column	Units of Measuremen	nt							
E:	Enter the unit of measurer the average weight of the	nent for each crop/species (I measure.	bs, cwt, carton, bi	in). If not by weight	, specify in the c	comments section			
Column	Price								
F:	Enter average prices recei categories can be calculate	ived by the users for that cro ed separately, if needed.	p/crop grouping a	ind market category	. Average price	over all			
Column	Gross Revenue								
G:	Gross revenue per acre fo	r each market category and	or each crop/crop	grouping may be ca	alculated using	the data you			
	entered as price times yield	d. If revenue is not equal to r	orice times vield v	ou may enter a diffe	erent revenue ar				
		e comments section below.	onioo umioo yiola, j	you may criter a ame	ordin revenue ui	nount, but please			
A			D	E	F	G G			
Α	explain the difference in th	e comments section below.							
A Year	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop	e comments section below.		E		G			
	explain the difference in th	e comments section below. C	D	E Unit of	F	G Gross			
	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop	e comments section below. C	D	E Unit of Measuremen	F	G Gross Revenue per			
Year	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings	e comments section below. C	D Yield	E Unit of Measuremen t	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$)			
Year	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750			
Year 2000 2001	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield 250 250	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250			
Year 2000 2001	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield 250 250	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250			
Year 2000 2001	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield 250 250	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250			
Year 2000 2001	explain the difference in th B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield 250 250	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250			
Year 2000 2001 2002 If this app	explain the difference in the B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C	D Yield 250 250 350 350 s (e.g. nurseri	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00 \$ 350.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250 \$122,500			
Year 2000 2001 2002 If this app	explain the difference in the B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants	e comments section below. C Market Category e crops/crop grouping	D Yield 250 250 350 350 s (e.g. nurseri	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00 \$ 350.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250 \$122,500			
Year 2000 2001 2002 If this app and forbs	explain the difference in the B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Olication is for multiple please indicate the	e comments section below. C Market Category e crops/crop grouping	D Yield 250 250 350 350 s (e.g. nurseri	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00 \$ 350.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250 \$122,500			
Year 2000 2001 2002 If this app	explain the difference in the B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Olication is for multiple please indicate the	e comments section below. C Market Category e crops/crop grouping	D Yield 250 250 350 350 s (e.g. nurseri	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00 \$ 350.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250 \$122,500			
Year 2000 2001 2002 If this app and forbs	explain the difference in the B Crops/Crop Groupings Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Conifer Transplants Olication is for multiple please indicate the	e comments section below. C Market Category e crops/crop grouping	D Yield 250 250 350 350	E Unit of Measuremen t 1,000 trees 1,000 trees 1,000 trees	F Price (\$) \$ 275.00 \$ 285.00 \$ 350.00	G Gross Revenue per Acre (\$) \$68,750 \$71,250 \$122,500			

Worksheet 2-D(1&2). Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for 2002

Enter all operating costs incurred during a fumigation cycle. Users with a relatively short fumigation cycle (less than five years) should use version D(1); users cultivating perennial crops should use version D(2). Users with multiple crops, either on the same area in a single fumigation cycle or on different areas treated separately, should copy this sheet and provide costs for each crop. If multiple crops are cultivated sequentially following a single fumigation, replace fumigation costs in Pre-plant Operations with any additional pest control costs used prior to the following crops. If a fallow season is an important part of the fumigation cycle, include costs incurred (for example, cultivating a cover crop) as a separate line or as a separate sheet, if costs are extensive. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column A: Operation / Input

The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide costs if additional treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you may simply specify total pesticide costs. **Please specify only variable operating costs.**

Operation / Input for Perennial Crops

For perennial crops (Worksheet D(2)), we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre-production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the timeline in Worksheet 2-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.

Column B: Quantity Used per Acre

This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.

Constant Cost per Acre

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre of land.

Column C: Units

_ ...

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.

Cost per Unit of Yield

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example, packing material, per unit of produce.

Column D: Unit Costs

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom' and enter total cost in Column E.

Yield

For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 2-C.

Column E: Total Cost per Acre

For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore, be less than gross revenues calculated in Worksheet 2-C. If it is not, please explain (for example, unusually poor yields or unusually poor prices). For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full production.

Total Cost per Acre

Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs per unit of yield (Column C) times yield (Column D).

Worksheet 2-D(1). Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for 2002

A	В	С	D	Е
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Pre-plant Operations				
Land preparation				\$500.00
Fumigation				
product (methyl bromide)	300	lbs	\$2.50	\$127.50
application				\$200.00
Irrigation				
Other costs				
Cultural Operations				
Seed / Seedlings				\$1,974.00
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments				\$622.00
Pesticides				
Insecticide				\$101.00
Herbicide				\$137.00
Fungicide				\$747.00
Nematicide				
Irrigation				\$271.00
Labor (manual)				\$8,000.00
Fuel / Machine Labor				\$169.00
Equipment				\$1,091.00
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Labor				\$1,500.00
Hauling				
Material				ф <u>г</u> те 00
Grading / Packing / Storage				\$575.00
Other Costs				\$500.00
FPA Form # 7620-18a				Pre Plant

Worksheet 2-D(2). Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for Perennial Crops

								_				
А	B (1)	C (1)	D (1)	E (1)	B (2)	C (2)	D (2)	E (2)	B (3)	C (3)	D (3)	E (3)
	PRE P	RODUCTIO	N YEARS _		INITIAL	PRODUCT	ION YEAR	S	FULL	PRODUCTI	ON YEARS	
Operation or Input	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre
Establishment Operations						ı		ı		ı		
Land preparation												
Fumigation												
product												
application												
Irrigation												
Seedlings												
Other costs												
								1				1
Cultural Operations												
Fertilizer/soil amendments												
Pesticides												
Insecticide												
Herbicide												
Fungicide												
Nematicide												
Irrigation												
Labor (manual)												
Fuel/machine labor												
Other costs												
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost
Picking/hauling		•		•								
Material	1											
Grading/packing	1											
Other costs	1											
	1											

Worksheet 3. Alternatives - Feasibility of Alternative Pest Control Regimens

Purpose of Data: To estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available. EPA needs to compare data (yields, crop/species prices, gross revenues and costs) on the use of methyl bromide and alternative pest control regimens.

Complete worksheet 3-A for each alternative pest control regimen listed in the "U.S. Matrix" for chemical controls (www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr/cueqa.html) and the "International Matrix" for non-chemical pest controls (www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr/cue). Each worksheet contains a place holder in the title for you to insert the name of the specific alternative pest control regimen addressed. You should add additional worksheets as required.

Enter all alternative pesticides and pest control methods (and associated cost and yield data) that would replace one treatment of methyl bromide throughout the fumigation cycle. See the Definition worksheet for a comprehensive definition on fumigation cycles.

Worksheet	Title
3-A	Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide
	You must complete one worksheet for each alternative. Please inset the name of the alternative in
	the area on top of the page. If you prefer, you may provide the information requested in this
	worksheet in a narrative review. However, you must fill in the information in Question #1 and #3 or
	we will assume no yield or quality loss.
3-B	Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	This data is needed to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide and to provide required information to the international review board.
	Please fill out this worksheet for each alternative specified in the U.S. Matrix and for other alternatives for which the economic evaluation would bolster the case that methyl bromide is needed. The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when alternatives are used for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Worksheet 3-B(1) is for users with a fumigation cycle of less than 5 years. Worksheet 3-B(2) is for users growing perennial crops following a single fumigation at establishment.
	In collaboration with USDA, we will estimate fixed and overhead costs across crops and regions to ensure consistency within the U.S. nomination.

Worksheet 3-A(1). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

lternat	ive:						Meta	m S	odium	
. Yield Lo Provide nu					-	paring This	s Alternati	ive t	o Methyl E	Bromide
Study #	-	Pest	Being To	ested	% Yi	eld Loss *	% Pest Control			Details
1	,	Pyth	ium/Fusa	arium		9%	CONTROL			
2										
3										
5										
	Enter A	verag	e Loss			9%				
				s given	we will a		ses. Only pr	ovide	pest control	information if yield or quality loss information i
Study Ir	nforma	ation			⊦or the		n #1 above lis	st: the	e study name	, authors, publication, date, and if a copy is
Study #	Attac	hed?							etails	
1	X				Tree PI	anter's Note	e, Volume 3	9, S a	ally J. Camp	obell and Bruce R. Kelpsas
3										
4										
5										
Quality Ma	arket C		ry	Ме	disease I with thyl nide	Units	Yield Wit	th	Units	tion in Worksheet 2-C. Quality Impact Description
Со	nifer S	eedlin	gs	3	50	1,000 trees	318	1	1,000 trees	
. Are the	Г	prod	luction (delays	1	ting/ harve		soci	ated with	this alternative?
Are the	re any	varie	ety or cu	ıltivar	issue	s associat	ed with th	is a	lternative ²	?
Restrict	ions c	n Alte	ernative	Use	This in	formation will	be used to d	leterm	nine the amo	unt of methyl bromide needed.
						% of Area	1			Details
Regula										
	bel Res									
Soil Re	wnship		<u> </u>							
			Iternative	,						
Organi	ic Matte	er Res	triction							
			utgassin Describe							

Worksheet 3-A(1). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

	ternative:					Me	tam \$	Sodium							
<u>.</u>	Use Rate of Chem	ical Alte	rnativ	re											
	Active Ingredient (a.i.)		Forn	Produc nulation	1	Quanti per A	-	Un (gals, lb			Acres ated		of ations		
	Metam Sodium	Vapam (letam S	Sodium,	45	0	lb	S	10	00		1		
		52% Ine	rt)												
•	Non-Chemical Pes	t Contro	ol (ple	ase de	scribe)										
).	Alternative Timeline	the application of the applicati	ropriat nan one than o crops/ op gro ription ion. Fo	e cells. e year of the year of the crop groupings. below or pere	ation, majo . Show a section of the p may beneoupings no . Please action or on a seption of the production b	econd cro months t efit from c t grown s ljust time parate pa ase begir	p if pa o an a one me equen line as ge. Pl o with t	rt of the fur oppropriate othyl bromitially, they is necessar ease begi he year of	migation of interval. de fumiga will need y. Please n the time land prep	cycle. If the These takes to provide provide line with paration a	the fumigables are application dependent in the second in the first the first	gation cy for annu on covers formation nal comi	cle is lal crops s n for al ments		
	Beginning Fumigation Cycle				Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)										
	Tuningation Cycle	Year 1	Year	Year 3	Year 4										
	Land Preparation			3											
	Fumigation						_								
	Fumigation Planting														
	Planting														
	Planting Harvest														
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop														
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation														
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer														
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle				Time	Interval	(e.g. N	MONTH/Y	EAR/SEA	SON)					
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed)	Month 1	Mont h 2	Month 3		Interval Month 5		MONTH/Y Month 7	Month	SON) Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Montll 12		
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation					Month	Mont		, Month	Month			Montil 12		
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation Fumigation					Month	Mont		, Month	Month					
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation					Month	Mont		, Month	Month					
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation Fumigation					Month	Mont		, Month	Month					
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation Fumigation Planting Harvest Fallow	1				Month	Mont		, Month	Month					
	Planting Harvest Cover Crop Irrigation Fertilizer Pesticides Labor Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed) Land Preparation Fumigation Planting Harvest	1				Month	Mont		, Month	Month					

Worksheet 3-B(1&2)(1). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative: Metam Sodium

Enter all operating costs incurred during a fumigation cycle. Users with a relatively short fumigation cycle (less than five years) should use version B(1); users cultivating perennial crops should use version B(2). Users with multiple crops, either on the same area in a single fumigation cycle or on different areas treated separately, should copy this sheet and provide costs for each crop. If multiple crops are cultivated sequentially following a single fumigation, replace fumigation costs in pre plant Operations with any additional pest control costs used prior to the following crops. If a fallow season is an important part of the fumigation cycle, include costs incurred (for example, cultivating a cover crop) as a separate line or as a separate sheet, if costs are extensive. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column A: Operation / Input

The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide costs if additional treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you may simply specify total pesticide costs. **Please specify only variable operating costs.**

Operation / Input for Perennial Crops

For perennial crops (Worksheet B(2)), we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre-production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the timeline in Worksheet 3-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.

Column B: Quantity Used per Acre

This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.

Constant Cost per Acre

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre of land.

Column C: Units

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.

Cost per Unit of Yield

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example, packing material, per unit of produce.

Column D: Unit Costs

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom' and enter total cost in Column E.

Yield

For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 3-A.

Column E: Total Cost per Acre

For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore, be less than gross revenues calculated in Worksheet 2-C. If it is not, please explain (for example, unusually poor yields or unusually poor prices). For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full production.

Total Cost per Acre

Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs per unit of yield (Column C) times yield (Column D).

Worksheet 3-B(1)(1). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative:

Metam Sodium

A	В	С	D	E
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Pre-plant Operations				
Land preparation				\$500.00
Fumigation				
product (metam sodium)	450	lbs	\$6.25	\$437.50*
application				
Irrigation				
Other costs				
Cultural Operations				
Seed / Seedlings				\$1,974.00
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments				\$622.00
Pesticides				
Insecticide				\$101.00
Herbicide				\$237.00*
Fungicide				\$747.00
Nematicide				
Irrigation				\$271.00
Labor (manual)				\$8100.00*
Fuel / Machine Labor				\$169.00
Other Costs				\$1,091.00
<u>Harvest Operations</u>	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Labor				\$1365.00*
Hauling				
Material				
Grading / Packing / Storage				\$523.25*
Other Costs				\$455.00*
* These costs have changed from the base costs decreased by 9% in accordance with		or increased due to inc	reased need for pest c	ontrol. Harvest
EPA Form # 7620-18a	l			Pre Plant

Worksheet 3-B(2). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs for Perennial Crops

Alternative: [Insert Alternative]

А	B (1)	C (1)	D (1)	E (1)	B (2)	C (2)	D (2)	E (2)	B (3)	C (3)	D (3)	E (3)
	PRE PR	ODUCTIO	N YEARS		INITIAL	PRODUCT	ION YEAR	RS	FULL P	RODUCTI	ON YEAR	S
Operation or Input	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre
Establishment Operation	าร											
Land preparation												
Fumigation												
product												
application												
Irrigation												
Seedlings												
Other costs												
Cultural Operations												
Fertilizer/soil amendmer	nts											
Pesticides												
Insecticide												
Herbicide												
Fungicide												
Nematicide												
Irrigation												
Labor (manual)												
Fuel/machine labor												
Other costs												
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost
Picking/hauling												
Material												
Grading/packing												
Other costs												

Worksheet 3-A(2). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

ternat	ive:_						Dazon	net		
Yield Lo	oss & P	est (Control V	Vhen	Comp	aring Ti	his Alternative	to Methy	/I Bromide	
Provide nu	ımerical e	estima	ates where p	ossibl	- e.	_		-		
Study #	(list		Being Te			l Loss *	% Pest Control		Details	
1	,	Pyth	ium/Fusar	ium	6	0/2		Phythium	was well controlled, but Fusarium was	
1 Pythium/Fusarium 6% Phythium was well controlled, but Fusarium was 2										
3										
4										
5										
E	Enter Av	/erag	je Loss		6	%				
Study Ir			omation is	giveii		ntormatio			trol information if yield or quality loss information, authors, publication, date, and if a copy	
Study #	Attach	ed?					De	tails		
1			Tree Plan	ters' l	Notes v.	39 (198	8), Sally J. Campl		Bruce R. Kelpsas	
2						,				
3										
4										
5										
Quality										
Ma	arket Ca	itego	ory	Me	_damage, d with ethyl emide	Units	Yield With Alternative	market ca	Quality Impact Description	
	arket Ca	_		Me Bro	d with ethyl		Yield With			
		_		Me Bro	d with ethyl mide	Units	Yield With Alternative	Units		
		_		Me Bro	d with ethyl mide	Units	Yield With Alternative	Units		
		_		Me Bro	d with ethyl mide	Units	Yield With Alternative	Units		
Are ther	re any	prod	gs luction d	Me Bro 3 elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes	Units 1,000 trees ing/ hai	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associ	Units 1,000 trees	Quality Impact Description th this alternative?	
Are then	re any	prod	gs luction d	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are thei Yes Are thei	re any re any rions or	prod varie	gs luction d No [ety or cul ernative l	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ hale c, please c	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative?	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict	re any vions or	prod varie	luction d No [ety or cul	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict Regula - Lat	re any cions or	prod varie	luction de No Cety or cul	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict Regula - Lat - To	re any cions or atory Rebel Rest	prod varie	luction de No Cety or cul	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict Regula - Lat - Too Soil Re	re any interest and interest an	prod National Alto Striction Caps n	luction de No Extra or cul	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict Regula - Lat - Too Soil Re Pest R	re any initer Se ions or atory Rebel Restwinship estriction esistant	prod prod n Alteristriction Capsen To A	luction do No Cety or cul	elays	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are then Yes Are then Restrict Regula - Lat - Too Soil Re Pest R Organi	re any initer Se ions or atory Rebel Restwinship estriction esistant ic Matter	prod National Alto Caps Caps To A	luction do No Eety or cul	elays X	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	
Are ther Yes Are ther Restrict Regula - Lat - Tor Soil Re Pest R Organi Off Site	re any initer Se ions or atory Rebel Restwinship estriction esistant ic Matter e Dama	prod Note: The strict triction of the strict triction of the strict triction of the strict of the s	luction do No Cety or cul	elays X	d with ethyl mide 550 6 (plant (If yes issues	Units 1,000 trees ing/ har s, please e	Yield With Alternative 330 rvesting) associated with this aviil be used to determine the control of the contr	Units 1,000 trees ciated wi	Quality Impact Description th this alternative? ve? mount of methyl bromide needed.	

Worksheet 3-A(2). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

l	ternative:	Dazomet													
<u>.</u>	Use Rate of Chemi	ical Alte	ernativ	re											
	Active Ingredient	Nar	ne of P	roduct	and	Quant	tity a.i.	Un	its	# of /	Acres	#	of		
	(a.i.)		Form	ulation			Acre		s. Etc.)	Trea	ated	Applic	ations		
	Dazomet	Basa	mid (98	3% Dazo	omet)		50	Ib		10	00		1		
	Non-Chemical Pes	t Contro	ol (ple	ase des	scribe)										
	(Indicate when fumigation, major crop and pest management practices typically occur by shading the appropriate cells. Show a second crop if part of the fumigation cycle. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year change the months to an appropriate interval. These tables are for annual crops but more than one crop may benefit from one methyl bromide fumigation. If application covers multiple crops/crop groupings not grown sequentially, they need to provide this information for all crops/crop groupings. Please adjust timeline as nece Please provide additional comments or description below or on a separate page. Please the timeline with the first land preparation. For perennials, please begin with the year of land preparation and fumigation and indicate the years of production by yield or percentage of full														
•															
	Beginning	Diebarai			Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)										
	Fumigation Cycle		Year	1			· •			,	I	1	1		
		Year 1	2	Year 3	Year 4										
	Land Preparation														
	Fumigation														
	Planting														
	Harvest														
	Cover Crop														
	Irrigation														
	Fertilizer														
	Pesticides														
	Labor														
	Continuation of Alternative Cycle				Time	Interval	(e.g. M	ONTH/Y	EAR/SE	ASON)					
	(if needed)														
		Month	Mont	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Mont		
	Land Draw	11	h 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	Land Preparation			-	-							-			
	Fumigation			-	-							-			
	Planting			-	1		-					 			
	Harvest			1			 					1			
	Follow	1	1							l					
	Fallow														
	Fallow Other Key Crop Steps Other Key Pest Steps														

Worksheet 3-B(1&2)(2). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative: Dazomet

Enter all operating costs incurred during a fumigation cycle. Users with a relatively short fumigation cycle (less than five years) should use version B(1); users cultivating perennial crops should use version B(2). Users with multiple crops, either on the same area in a single fumigation cycle or on different areas treated separately, should copy this sheet and provide costs for each crop. If multiple crops are cultivated sequentially following a single fumigation, replace fumigation costs in pre plant Operations with any additional pest control costs used prior to the following crops. If a fallow season is an important part of the fumigation cycle, include costs incurred (for example, cultivating a cover crop) as a separate line or as a separate sheet, if costs are extensive. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column A: Operation / Input

The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide costs if additional treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you may simply specify total pesticide costs. **Please specify only variable operating costs.**

Operation / Input for Perennial Crops

For perennial crops (Worksheet B(2)), we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre-production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the timeline in Worksheet 3-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.

Column B: Quantity Used per Acre

This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.

Constant Cost per Acre

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre of land.

Column C: Ui

Units

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.

Cost per Unit of Yield

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example, packing material, per unit of produce.

Column D: Unit Costs

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom'

Yield

For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 3-A.

Column E: Total Cost per Acre

For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore, be less than gross revenues calculated in Worksheet 2-C. If it is not, please explain (for example, unusually poor yields or unusually poor prices). For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full production.

Total Cost per Acre

Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs per unit of yield (Column C) times yield (Column D).

Worksheet 3-B(1)(2). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative: Dazomet

А	В	С	D	E
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Pre-plant Operations				
Land preparation				\$500.00
Fumigation				
product (dazomet)	250	lbs	\$450.00	\$281.25
application				\$225.00
Irrigation				
Other costs				
Cultural Operations				
Seed / Seedlings				\$1,974.00
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments				\$622.00
Pesticides				
Insecticide				\$101.00
Herbicide				\$237.00*
Fungicide				\$747.00
Nematicide				
Irrigation				\$271.00
Labor (manual)				\$8100.00*
Fuel / Machine Labor				\$169.00
Other Costs				\$1,091.00
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Labor				\$1410.00*
Hauling				
Material				
Grading / Packing / Storage				\$540.50*
Other Costs				\$470.00*
* These costs have changed from the basel costs decreased by 6% in accordance with		or increased due to inc	reased need for pest c	ontrol. Harvest
EPA Form # 7620-18a				Pre Plant

Worksheet 3-A(3). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

4 11	ternati	ve:						Biofumi	gation	
1.	Yield Lo	ss & P	est (Control	When	Comp	aring T	his Alternative	to Meth	yl Bromide
	Provide nu	merical e	estima	tes where	possibl	e.				
	Study # below	-	Pest	Being Te	ested	% Yield	l Loss *	% Pest Control		Details
	1		Pyth	ium/Fusa	rium	8	%		Bio fum	igation does not control all the pest
	2									sed by Methyl Bromide.
	3								addicse	sea by Methyr Bronniae.
	4									
	5									
	E	nter A	/erag	e Loss		8	%			
* If	no yield or	quality lo	ss inf	ormation is	s given	we will as	sume no	losses. Only provi	de pest co	ntrol information if yield or quality loss information
2.	Study In Study # 1 2				"Th	attached		D	etails	ame, authors, publication, date, and if a copy is " Professor James Smith, 2000
	3									
	4									
	5									
3.	Quality L	_oss *	ntego	ry	Me	damage, d with ethyl		•		er fruit, reduced grade, smaller plants, crop category question in Worksheet 2-C. Quality Impact Description
						mide	4 000		4 000	
	Cor	nifer Se	edlin	gs	3	50	1,000	322	1,000	
							trees		trees	
	Yes			No	X	(If yes	, please	•		vith this alternative?
6.	Restricti	ons or	n Alte	ernative	Use	This info	rmation v	will be used to dete	rmine the	amount of methyl bromide needed.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					% of Are	ea 💮		Details
	Regula	tory Re	strict	ion						
	_	el Rest								
		vnship								
	Soil Re									
				Iternative	<i>j</i>					
	Organio									
				utgassin	<u>a)</u>					
				Describe						
	Outlet I	.0001101	10110 (POSCIDE	'/	<u>I</u>				

Worksheet 3-A(3). Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide

A 14 4 1	
Alternative:	Biofumigation

7. Use Rate of Chemical Alternative

Active Ingredient (a.i.)	Name of Product and Formulation	Quantity a.i. per Acre	Units (gals, lbs. Etc.)	# of Acres Treated	# of Applications

8. Non-Chemical Pest Control (please describe)

Biofumigation is the use of plants (cover crops) containing biologically active compounds as rotation crops or green manures to suppress soil-borne pests and diseases.

9. Alternative

(Indicate when fumigation, major crop and pest management practices typically occur by shading the appropriate cells. Show a second crop if part of the fumigation cycle. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year change the months to an appropriate interval. These tables are for annual crops but more than one crop may benefit from one methyl bromide fumigation. If application covers multiple crops/crop groupings not grown sequentially, they will need to provide this information for all crops/crop groupings. Please adjust timeline as necessary. Please provide additional comments or description below or on a separate page. Please begin the timeline with the first land preparation. For perennials, please begin with the year of land preparation and fumigation and indicate the years of production by yield or percentage of full

Beginning Fumigation Cycle		Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)												
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4										
Land Preparation														
Fumigation														
Planting														
Harvest														
Cover Crop														
Irrigation														
Fertilizer														
Pesticides														
Labor														

Continuation of Alternative Cycle (if needed)		Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)												
	Month	Mont	Month											
	1	h 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Land Preparation														
Fumigation														
Planting														
Harvest														
Fallow														
Other Key Crop Steps														
Other Key Pest Steps														

Comments:

The study conducted results in marshy area, while in our consortium the soil is largely sandy. We therefore, do n the results of the study to apply very well to our area.

Worksheet 3-B(1&2)(3). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative: Biofumigation

Enter all operating costs incurred during a fumigation cycle. Users with a relatively short fumigation cycle (less than five years) should use version B(1); users cultivating perennial crops should use version B(2). Users with multiple crops, either on the same area in a single fumigation cycle or on different areas treated separately, should copy this sheet and provide costs for each crop. If multiple crops are cultivated sequentially following a single fumigation, replace fumigation costs in pre plant Operations with any additional pest control costs used prior to the following crops. If a fallow season is an important part of the fumigation cycle, include costs incurred (for example, cultivating a cover crop) as a separate line or as a separate sheet, if costs are extensive. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column

Operation / Input

A:

The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide costs if additional treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you may simply specify total pesticide costs. **Please specify only variable operating costs.**

Operation / Input for Perennial Crops

For perennial crops (Worksheet B(2)), we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre-production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the timeline in Worksheet 3-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.

Column

Quantity Used per Acre

B:

This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.

Constant Cost per Acre

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre of land.

Column

Units

C:

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.

Cost per Unit of Yield

For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example, packing material, per unit of produce.

Column

Unit Costs

D:

For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom'

Yield

For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 3-A.

Column

Total Cost per Acre

E:

For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore, be less than gross revenues calculated in Worksheet 2-C. If it is not, please explain (for example, unusually poor yields or unusually poor prices). For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full production.

Total Cost per Acre

Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs per unit of yield (Column C) times yield (Column D).

Worksheet 3-B(1)(3). Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs

Alternative:

Biofumigation

A	В	С	D	E
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Pre-plant Operations				
Land preparation				\$500.00
Fumigation				
product (methyl bromide)				\$387.50
application				
Irrigation				
Other costs				
Cultural Operations				
Seed / Seedlings				\$1,974.00
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments				\$622.00
Pesticides				
Insecticide				\$101.00
Herbicide				\$237.00*
Fungicide				\$747.00
Nematicide				
Irrigation				\$271.00
Labor (manual)				\$8100.00*
Fuel / Machine Labor				\$169.00
Other Costs				\$1,091.00
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)
Labor				\$1380.00*
Hauling				
Material				
Grading / Packing / Storage				\$529.00*
Other Costs				\$460.00*
* These costs have changed from the basel costs decreased by 8% in accordance with		or increased due to inc	reased need for pest	control. Harvest
EDA Form # 7620 190	•			Dro Blont

Worksheet 4. Future Research Plans

Plea	se describe future plans to test alternatives to methyl bromide. You may use this worksheet	to describe all
1.	Identify the top 3 to 5 target pests for your research. 1 Phythium 4 2 Fusarium 5 3	
2.	Provide a list of alternative chemicals or cultural practices that have been tested. 1	
3.	Prioritize the alternative chemicals or cultural practices to be tested. 1 Dazomet 4 2 5 3	
4.	What would be the best currently available alternative if methyl bromide were not a Dazomet	vailable?
5.	Please provide an overview/timeline of the plan to transition away from using methy	I bromide.
6. 7.	Will yield/quality loss be measured? Will economic impacts be measured? Yes X No No	
7. 8.	How will you minimize your use and/or emissions of methyl bromide?	
0.	Formulation Changes (please specify) X Tarpaulin (High Density Polyethylene) X Virtually Impermeable Film (VIF) Other Cultural Practices (please specify) X Other Pesticides (please specify) X Non-Chemical Methods (please specify) From:% methyl bromide To:% methyl bromide To:% methyl bromide Timing of sowing, depth of some specify) Basamid and Metam Sodium Fallow and organic amendments are seedled coverings	% chloropicri% chloropicri powing
_	What is the cumulative amount spent and the types of contributions this consortium h	nas mado to
9.	fund research to develop alternatives to methyl bromide since 1992? (e.g. consortium due:	
	Years Name of Organization / Research Institution	Amount (\$)
	1992-2003 Various	\$90,000
10.	Other total investments, if any, made to reduce your reliance on methyl bromide? (Describe each investment and its associated costs. e.g. specialized machinery, etc.)	\$2,500
	Investment Alternative weed central methods	Cost
	Alternative weed control methods	\$2,500
11.	Grant requests made to USDA, EPA, state, or other funding group. None	

For EPA Use Only ID #	
SECTOR	

Worksheet 5. Application Summary

This worksheet wi						use exemptions beyond the	2005 phase
1. Consortium N	lame:	Nursery Association					
2. Location:		California and Utah					
3. Crop:		Conifer Seedlings					
Pounds of Me	ethyl						
4. Bromide Requ	uested	2005	25,000	lbs.			
Acres Treated							
5. Methyl Bromi	de	2005	100	Acr	es		
6. If methyl bron	nide is requ	ested for additi	onal years, reas	on for re	quest:		
Specific sectio	ns of seedlir	ng production are	eas are fumigated	l each ye	ar. The req	uest	
for a Critical U	se Exemption	n is based on thi	s annual applicat	tion requi	rement.		
2006	25,000	_lbs.	Area Tre	ated	100	Acres	
2007	25,000	_	Area Tre	ated	100	Acres	
Place an "X" in the he "Reasons" col		cribe why the pote	ential alternative			mically Feasible" where app	oropriate. Use
Potential Alte	ernatives	Not Technically Feasible	Not Economically Feasible			Reasons	
Metam Sodium			X				
Dazomet			X				
Biofumigation			X				

Definitions:

Fumigation cycle:	The period of time between methyl bromide fumigations.
Year:	If a fumigation cycle overlaps more than one calendar year, "year" refers to the calendar year when methyl bromide is applied (or the beginning of the cycle).
Comparable data:	In order to compare revenues and costs with and without methyl bromide, data on alternatives for pest control, yields, revenues, and costs must be for the same time interval as the methyl bromide fumigation cycle. If, however, quantitative data, is not available for the entire fumigation cycle, then to be comparable, the quantitative data for the alternatives should cover the same portion of the fumigation cycle as the quantitative data for methyl bromide, and the rest of the cycle should be discussed in the comments sections.
2-year example:	If a methyl bromide fumigation is made every 2 years, then the 2001 fumigation cycle began in 2001 and would end in 2003. The data should cover the methyl bromide costs and usage for the methyl bromide fumigation made in 2001, and all yields and revenues received and other costs incurred during the 2 year period. To be comparable, the data on alternatives should cover a similar 2 year period beginning in 2005 beginning at the same time of year when a methyl bromide fumigation would be made. The data should cover all methyl bromide alternatives used, and all yields and revenues received during that 2-year interval. Other pest control and other costs would only need to be provided for that interval if they would change from what they were with methyl bromide.
Other beneficiary example	If someone other than the applicant benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation, you should comment on these benefits if you do not have quantitative data for the entire fumigation cycle. For example, if a rotational crop in the second year benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation a year earlier, but there is quantitative data only on the first crop, then the data on the alternatives should cover only the first crop, and the benefits of methyl bromide and the additional pesticides that would have to be used on the rotational crop should be discussed in the comments sections.
Crop cycle change example:	If in a one year interval, methyl bromide is applied, tomatoes are grown and harvested followed by peppers, then the fumigation cycle would be one year including the tomatoes and peppers. If, however, without methyl bromide, it is not possible to follow tomatoes with peppers in the same one year interval, then the alternative data on pesticides, costs, yields, and revenues should just cover tomatoes. The loss of profit from not being able to grow peppers with the alternatives would be part of the loss from not having methyl bromide.
Crop Grouping	The applicant can group simliar crops together if: (i)Crops would experience similar yield and quality losses in the absence of methyl bromide; and (ii)Crops are grown on the same fumigation and cultivation cycle with similar operating costs. For example, nursery crops including various flower or tree species can be aggregated, with average yields per acre and prices. However, if crops are distinctly different in revenues and operating costs, or the cycles, the applicant may want to present yield, price and operating costs for each crop separately and also indicate the proportion of land area allocated to each crop.

